#### **Transfer Functions**

#### Miloš Šrámek

## **Light Attenuation**

 Interaction with matter results in absorption

Beer-Lambert law:

$$\frac{dI(t)}{dt} = \rho(t)I(t) - k(t)\rho(t),$$

ρ(t): optical density, a measure of attenuation

k(t): chromacity, color

## **Volume Rendering Integral**

#### Integral form of the Beer's law

$$I = \int_{t_0}^{t_n} k(t) \rho(t) e^{-\int_{t_0}^t \rho(u) \, du} \, dt + I_b e^{-\int_{t_0}^{t_n} \rho(t) \, dt}$$



# Per Segment Evaluation of the

• FTB 
$$\begin{aligned} I_m &= I_{m-1} + (1 - \beta_{m-1})C_m \\ \beta_m &= \beta_{m-1} + (1 - \beta_{m-1})\alpha_m \end{aligned}$$
  
• BTF 
$$\begin{aligned} I_m &= \alpha_m C_m + (1 - \alpha_m)I_{m-1} \\ over \text{ operator} \end{aligned}$$

where:

• segment opacity  $\alpha_i = 1 - e^{-\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \rho(u) \, du}$ 

Accumulated opacity of m segments

Color of i-th segment

$$C_{i} = \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} k(t)\rho(t)e^{-\int_{t_{i}}^{t}\rho(u) \, du} \, dt$$

# Approximations

# • Approximation of $\rho$ and k by a constant: $\alpha_i = 1 - e^{-\rho_i \Delta t_i}$

$$C_i = k_i(1-\alpha_i),$$

$$\bullet$$
  $\rho$  is a li 
$$\alpha_i = 1 - e^{-\frac{\rho(t_i) + \rho(t_{i+1})}{2} \Delta t_i}.$$

# **Volume Rendering Equation**

• We need opacities and chromacities.

We have only densities.

• What shall we do?

How to Get **Opacity/Chromacity from Density?** Unshaded projection Density transfer functions Edge amplification Shaded projection Special transfer functions

## Unshaded Projection, Reprojection

• Use densities directly, i.e.:

 $\rho(p) = d(p)$  k(p) = 1

*p* is a position in the volumed(p) is density at p

## **Unshaded Projection**



# Density Transfer Functions Use functions to assign opacities and chromacities to each density, i.e.:

$$\rho(p) = f(d(p))$$
  
k(p) = g(d(p))

Where *p* is a position in the volume
d(p) is density at p *f* and *g* are transfer functions

## **Density Transfer Functions**



## **Density Transfer Functions**

 Transfer functions can be of any shape and complexity.

 But they assign the same value to a particular density regardless of its position and environment.

# **Edge Enhancement**

 Transfer functions assign opacities and chromacities to gradient magnitudes:

$$\rho(p) = f(|\vec{g}(p)|)$$
  
$$k(p) = g(|\vec{g}(p)|)$$

 This results in edge enhancement, but only as good as the edge detector/gradient operator used.

# Edge Enhancement



#### **Shaded Projection**

 Use gradients to compute colors, basically evaluating the shading equation at each volume sample point using the gradient there as surface normal.

$$\rho(p) = f\left(\frac{\vec{g}(p)}{|\vec{g}(p)|}\right)$$

 This results in view-dependent surface enhancement.

# **Shaded Projection**



## **Special Transfer Functions**

 These are functions that assign opacities/chromacities to some property derived at the sample points, e.g. curvature.

The problem is how to choose the optimal one among the many possible shapes.

## How to approximate the VRI?

Ray-casting algorithms
 Image order traversal

Projection algorithms
 Object order traversal

#### **Brute-force Ray-casting**

For each pixel: For each sample along a ray: - Compute color - Weight color by opacity Accumulate color and opacity - Determine next sample Pixel gets accumulated color

# **Ray-casting Fundamentals**

#### Front-to-back traversal:

$$I_m = I_{m-1} + (1 - \beta_{m-1})C_m \text{ under operator}$$
  
$$\beta_m = \beta_{m-1} + (1 - \beta_{m-1})\alpha_m$$

 $\alpha_i \ge 1$ 

Early termination ifFinal step:

$$c_f = c_i \alpha_i$$

# **Ray-casting Fundamentals**



# Definition of Transfer Functions

 Purpose: enhancement / suppression of desired / unwanted features of data
 Problem: too many degrees of freedom:



# Definition of Transfer Functions (TF)

• Manual (trial & error) setting: Freely (hand) drawn curves: Hard to achieve meaningful result Piecewise linear: Based on tissue classification Computer assisted setting: Interactive evolution Inverse design Design galleries

# Hand-drawn TF A typical result:





# Piecewise Linear TF (with Tissue Classification)



# **Piecewise Linear Opacity TF**

#### **Shaded projection**



#### Piecewise Linear Opacity TF Unshaded projection with edge enhancement









### **Inverse Design**

#### Optimization according to a criterion (He 1996):



Image entropy Image variance Edge content Combination

# Design Gallery<sup>™</sup> (Marks 1997)



# **Design Galleries (DG)**

- Automatically generated selection of perceptually different images
   Generated off-line
   Requires similarity measure (distance between images)
  - No optimality measure required

# **DG Key Elements**

- Input vector a set of parameters, that control output graphics
- Mapping from input to output vectors
- Output vector subjectively relevant qualities of output image
- Distance metrics between output vectors
- Dispersion method find a well-distributed set of output vectors
- Arrangement result presentation

# TF generation by means of Design Galleries

- Input vector:
  - Opacity TF: 8 control points (16 parameters)
- - Color TF 6 subranges (red, yellow, green, cyan, blue, magenta)
- Mapping: A volume rendering technique
- Output vector: 8 manualy selected samples (24 values)
- Distance metrics: Euclidean

**TF** generation by means of **Design Galleries** Dispersion heuristics: Repeat (2 000 000 times) - Select random input vector I - Perturb the vector | to |' - If |' is better than | Replace | by |' End Arrangement Embedding in 2D space, with distances kept Thumbnail images



# **DG - Labor Division**

#### • DG Designer

- Input and output vectors, metrics, dispersion and arrangement
- Must understand the visualization technique
- Computer
  - Does the work
- User
  - Uses the results
  - No deeper insight is necessary

# Data Suitability for Volume Rendering

#### CT data: correspondence between histogram and spatial arrangement









# Data Suitability for Volume Rendering

#### • MR Data: No such correspondence









